

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
 - Project Listings:
 - New;
 - Renewal;
 - UFA Costs;
 - CoC Planning;
 - YHPD Renewal; and
 - YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
 - Consolidations and Expansions Forms – must be completed if the CoC is consolidating eligible renewal projects or applying for new projects to expand the operations of eligible renewal projects.
 - Attachment Requirement
 - HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.
 - Things to Remember:
 - New, CoC Renewal, YHPD Renewal, YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
 - Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
 - UFA Costs Project Listing;
 - CoC planning Project Listing;
 - Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
 - For each project application rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
 - If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.
- Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website

1. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Collaborative Applicant Name: Homeward Alliance

2. Reallocation

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2027 to create one or more new projects? Yes

Alert:

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDP projects from any Round to create new YHDP Replacement projects.
- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or Section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

2A. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)					
\$695,434					
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Transition Grant
DOH Consolidated ...	CO0171L8T052505	PH-PSH	CoC Renewal	\$380,758	No
Northern Front Ra...	CO0014L8T052518	PH-RRH	CoC Renewal	\$157,016	No
City of Greeley RRH	CO0233L8T052501	PH-RRH	CoC Renewal	\$74,132	No
Permanent Support...	CO0022L8T052518	PH-PSH	CoC Renewal	\$83,528	No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: DOH Consolidated PSH Northern Colorado FY2024
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: CO0171L8T052505
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-PSH
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$380,758

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)

The Project Rating & Ranking Committee reviewed the renewal application under the CoC's adopted scoring criteria and recommended a Priority Listing that the Governing Board approved on July 30, 2026. DOH Consolidated PSH Northern Colorado scored below the other Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) renewals and other projects selected for funding. Consistent with the Board's direction, Tier 1 funding first supported higher-ranked PSH renewals, then continued through the committee's recommended projects as funding allowed. Tier 1 funding was exhausted before reaching the DOH project, and PSH projects were not eligible for placement in Tier 2 under the CoC's local policies. The project was therefore eliminated from funding consideration, was not assigned a final rank, and was not included on the Priority Listing. The CoC notified the Division of Housing of this decision in writing on July 31, 2026, and confirmed on September 21, 2026, that the decision remained in effect following HUD's reopening of the competition.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Northern Front Range Rapid Rehousing FY2024
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: CO0014L8T052518
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$157,016

**2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)**

On June 18, 2026, the Governing Board decided not to accept RRH renewals in the FY 2026 local competition to align its local funding strategy with HUD priorities. A representative of the Northern Front Range Rapid Rehousing FY2024 project participated in that meeting and was informed of the decision that day. The CoC amended its Project Rating & Ranking Policies and Procedures on June 23, 2026 to reflect that decision. The CoC identified this project for reallocation based on the Governing Board's decision rather than project performance.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: City of Greeley RRH
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: CO0233L8T052501
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$74,132

**2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)**

On June 18, 2026, the Governing Board decided not to accept RRH renewals in the FY 2026 local competition to align its local funding strategy with HUD priorities. A representative of the City of Greeley RRH project was informed of the decision that day. The CoC amended its Project Rating & Ranking Policies and Procedures on June 23, 2026 to reflect that decision. The CoC identified this project for reallocation based on the Governing Board's decision rather than project performance.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Permanent Supportive Housing in Loveland FY24
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: CO0022L8T052518
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-PSH
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$83,528

**2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)**

The Permanent Supportive Housing in Loveland FY24 project informed the CoC that it did not intend to operate its FY 2025 PSH renewal grant. Following discussions with the project, the CoC contacted the HUD Field Office on June 1, 2026 about transferring the award to another PSH provider. HUD has initiated the transfer paperwork, and the transfer remains in process. The Permanent Supportive Housing in Loveland FY24 project did not submit a Letter of Intent or project application for FY 2026 renewal, so there was no FY 2026 application for this grant to score or rank. The CoC identified the renewal grant for elimination on the FY 2026 reallocation form.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

2B. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)								
\$0								
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)								
\$0								
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)								
\$0								
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based on the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously.

To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details.

To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder.

If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility to ensure all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	Transition Grant	Expansion	Rank	PSH/RRH
Road to Home Tran...	2026-09-22 19:59:...	TH	Crossroads Safeho..	\$73,223	1 Year	DV Bonus	No	No	D6	
Community Firm No...	2026-09-23 13:33:...	SSO	The Community Firm	\$171,846	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	15	

A Woman's Place T...	2026-09-23 14:14:...	TH	diane@awpdv.org	\$94,314	1 Year	DV Bonus	No	No	D11	
Bridges Outreach h ...	2026-09-23 14:07:...	SSO	City of Greeley	\$387,129	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	13	
Sherwood House Pa...	2026-09-25 08:07:...	TH	Family Housing Ne...	\$100,000	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	12	
Street Outreach h f...	2026-09-25 09:53:...	SSO	City of Loveland	\$150,000	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	10	
Alternatives to V...	2026-09-25 11:04:...	TH	Alternatives To V...	\$187,116	1 Year	DV Bonus	No	No	D14	
Northern Colorado...	2026-09-25 13:26:...	SSO	Catholic Charitie...	\$60,889	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	16	
Fort Collins Resc...	2026-09-25 13:00:...	SSO	Denver Rescue Mls...	\$75,570	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	8	
Second Chance at ...	2026-09-25 13:15:...	TH	Jobs of Hope	\$50,000	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	9	
Street Outreach h f...	2026-09-25 17:27:...	SSO	Outreach Fort Col...	\$200,000	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	7	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Consolidation	Accepted?	Comp Type	Rank	Expansion	PSH/RH	Consolidation Type
HMIS Lead Agency ...	2026-09-22 12:58:...	1 Year	Homeward Alliance	\$102,302		Yes	HMIS	2			
Redtail Ponds FY26	2026-09-22 17:46:...	1 Year	Fort Collins Hous...	\$438,813		Yes	PH	5		PSH	
NoCO CoC Coordinator...	2026-09-23 12:13:...	1 Year	Homeward Alliance	\$127,700		Yes	SSO	1			
Mason Place FY26	2026-09-23 13:08:...	1 Year	Fort Collins Hous...	\$116,934		Yes	PH	3		PSH	
StarRise PSH FY26	2026-09-25 13:53:...	1 Year	High Plains Housi...	\$67,331		Yes	SH	4			

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
NoCO CoC Planning...	2026-09-25 00:04:...	1 Year	Homeward Alliance	\$88,663	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

☒

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
This list contains no items									

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Applicant Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Expansion	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	PSH/RRH	Rank
This list contains no items									

4. Consolidation & Expansion

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions on HUD's website.

1. Is the CoC consolidating renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? No
2. Is the CoC expanding renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? No

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$853,080
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$1,195,434
New DV Bonus Amount	\$354,653
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$0
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$0
YHDP Reallocation Amount	\$0
CoC Planning Amount	\$88,663
Rejected Amount	\$0
Total Funding Request	\$2,491,830

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	CO-505 Certificat...	09/27/2026
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	CO-505 Local Comp...	09/27/2026

Attachment Details

Document Description: CO-505 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: CO-505 Local Competition Scoring Tool

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1. Collaborative Applicant	09/24/2026
2. Reallocation	09/24/2026
2A. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/27/2026
2B. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
3A. CoC New Project Listing	09/27/2026
3B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/27/2026
3D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/27/2026
3E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	No Input Required
Project Priority List FY2026	Page 22
	09/27/2026

3F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	No Input Required
4. Consolidation & Expansion	09/27/2026
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/27/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Local Competition Scoring Tool Overview

The Northern Colorado Continuum of Care (CoC), in compliance with HUD requirements, developed Project Rating and Ranking Policies and Procedures to guide its FY 2026 Local Competition process. These policies and procedures detail guidance on eligibility, local priorities, scoring, ranking, and funding amounts. To view the policies and procedures in their entirety, please navigate to the following link: https://nocococ.org/wp-content/uploads/2026/07/FY-2026-CoC-Program-NOFO_Project-Rating-Ranking-Policy.pdf.

This workbook is a copy of the Local Competition Scoring Tool provided to application reviewers. After the Local Competition closed, CoC staff conducted an Administrative Review to ensure project applicants supplied the necessary information to conduct Threshold Reviews. Part of the Administrative Review process included reviewing attachments to ensure attachments included accurate, relevant content and were submitted in a readable format. CoC staff would reach out to project applicants to address any curable deficiencies before applications advanced to the Threshold Review. An Administrative Review Checklist is located on the Administrative Review tab of this workbook.

The Threshold Review for all project applications was conducted by CoC staff. Project applications were required to pass Threshold Review before advancing to the Merit Review. The CoC's Rating and Ranking Committee reviewed any project applications which failed to pass the Threshold Review and determined whether they were permitted to advance to the Merit Review on a case-by-case basis. All projects which passed the Threshold Review advanced to the Merit Review. Rating and Ranking Committee members, without any competition related conflicts of interests, conducted the Merit Review. Reviewers conducted independent reviews for each project application followed by a meeting to discuss independent scoring and make discretionary adjustments. At the direction of the Rating and Ranking Committee members, CoC staff would reach out to project applicants to clarify questions. Rating and Ranking Committee members reconvened to discuss new information and finalize their independent scores. The CoC's Governing Board approved the finalize priority listing. This workbook documents the general rating and scoring process reviewers used to inform ranking decisions and other deliberations.

The FY 2026 Local Competition applications were developed to be project specific, therefore, Threshold Review and Merit Review scoring rubrics reflect project nuances. Each project tab provides a project specific scoring framework and includes a supplemental column titled 'NOFO Rating Factor 1 Crosswalk' to guide HUD in its review and assessment of whether the CoC fully satisfies NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1) scoring criteria.

The CoC received six types of applications: renewal HMIS, renewal SSO-CES, renewal PH-PSH, new TH, new SSO, and new SSO-SO. In line with the CoC's FY'26 local competition priorities, no renewal RRH, new RRH, nor new PSH applications were accepted. Although applications for new DV-RRH projects were eligible, none were submitted.

HUD Reviewers are encouraged to view this document online to ensure they can increase the resolution necessary for reading its content.

Administrative Review					
Review Criteria		YES?	NO?	Action	Comments
Global Considerations					
Do answers appear brief and lack substance?				If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
Is any required information or referenced documentation missing?				If YES, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Organization and Project Information					
Does the proposal request exceed the local 25% ARD cap?				If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps. Individual projects may NOT exceed 25% ARD cap per local CoC competition conditions.	
Does the applicant indicate a 25% match? Is the match amount correctly calculated?				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Does the applicant intend to contract funds to subrecipients?	If YES, is this addressed elsewhere in the application?			If YES, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Threshold Factors: ALL Projects					
Was a project budget submitted?				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Are the project budget calculations correct and match the previous financial information given?				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Are there any red flags with listed BLIs in the submitted project budget?				If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
Did the applicant submit a recent audit report/statement? (Recent can be within 2 years.)				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Did the audit report/statement summary indicate any major concerns?				If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
Did the applicant provide a detailed project description addressing the following items?	Primary population served			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	Type of housing and specific unit configuration			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	Projected numbers served and services provided			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	Staffing structure, including sub-recipients			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	Proposed length of period of performance			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Summary of other funding sources				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
HUD Required Certifications: ALL Projects					
Did the applicant affirmatively certify they would abstain from engaging in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination?				If NO, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
Did the applicant affirmatively certify they would abstain from operating drug injection sites?				If NO, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
New TH Projects: Required Attachments					
Does the applicant require program participants to take part in supportive services?				If NO, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
If applicant requires program participation, do they attach supporting documentation either in this field or elsewhere?				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Does the applicant provide onsite behavioral health services or maintain a formal partnership with an organization that does?	If YES, do they attach supporting documentation to this field OR reference these partnerships elsewhere?			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	If NO, do they reference formal partnerships elsewhere?			If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	
New SSO Projects: Required Attachments					
Does the applicant require program participants to take part in supportive services?				If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
Does the applicant have formal partnership agreements with first responders?	If YES, do they attach supporting documentation either in this field or elsewhere?			If NO, reach out to project applicant for clarification and/or to resolve issue.	
	If NO, do they reference formal partnerships elsewhere?			If YES, flag for CoC team to discuss internally and determine next steps.	

CoC Renewal Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
Reviewer Number: Threshold Metrics: Applicant: Project Name: Project Type: HMIS Status: Renewal					

Threshold Review - HMIS

Renewal HMIS Threshold Factors: Pass/Fail

Project must pass ALL Threshold Factors to advance to Merit Review

Renewal Threshold Factors: Overall Score:

Category	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Pass/Fail
Project Detail	Does the renewal project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Did the renewal project expend at least 90% of funds from the most recent completed grant year? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Internal Review	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit proper match documentation (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did this report contain any major audit findings? (N=pass, Y=fail)	Application	
Certification	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
HUD Threshold	Did the project meet its plans and goals established in the initial grant? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate all timeliness standards for grants being renewed? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate success in assisting clients to achieve and maintain self-sufficiency and independent living? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	
HUD Threshold	Was the project willing to accept technical assistance and did the project demonstrate adequate financial accounting with no documented mismanagement (e.g., drastically reducing the population served, making program changes without prior HUD approval, losing control of project site)? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q4	

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment).
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial capacity in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review.
Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NOFO priorities.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.

Merit Review - HMIS

Category	Scoring Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Scoring Criteria	Notes
System Performance (Q1)	Proactive Case Management Tool that promotes treatment and Recovery	Select the most appropriate answer (picklist)	15		Yes = 15 Plan to develop = 8 No = 0	
System Performance (Q2b)	Tracks Returns to Homelessness	Y/N	10		Yes = 10 No = 0	
System Performance (Q4)	Collects UIDs Data Standards	Y/N	10		Yes = 10 No = 0	
System Performance (Q5)	Produced all HUD required reports and provides data needed for HUD reporting	Y/N	15		Yes = 15 No = 0	
Data Quality	Data Quality Procedures	Select the most appropriate answer (picklist)	15		Fully implemented = 15 Partial implemented = 10 Not implemented = 0	
System Contribution	Treatment & Recovery Onsite or Partnerships	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0	
System Contribution	CES Participation and Responsiveness	Y/N	5		Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=5, N=0) *If HMIS/CES = Full points because part of CoC	
System Contribution	HMIS Participation and Responsiveness		5		Full Use: Program/Services = 5 Partial Use: Minimum Requirements = 2.5 Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HMIS or CES)? Yes = 10, No = 0	
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10		Assign points based on local priority project type: PSH 10, HMIS, 8, CES 6, All other project types 4	
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10			
			100			

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - HMIS sponsored or supported treatment services tool).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - HMIS tracks returns to homelessness).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - collects UIDs data standards).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - produces HUD required reports and provides required data to HUD).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (data quality - HMIS has established and follows data quality procedures).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (whether the HMIS facilitates system-level partnerships to treatment and recovery services).
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES.
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HMIS, or comparable database.
N/A; reflects local CoC priorities
N/A; reflects local CoC priorities
* Displays maximum points available for each project (Renewal HMIS = 100 points).

CoC Renewal Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
Reviewer Number: Threshold Metrics: Applicant: Project Name: Project Type: SSO-CES Status: Renewal					

Threshold Review - CES

Renewal CES Threshold Factors: Pass/Fail

Project must pass ALL Threshold Factors to advance to Merit Review

Renewal Threshold Factors: Overall Score:

Category	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Pass/Fail
Project Detail	Does the renewal project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Did the renewal project expend at least 90% of funds from the most recent completed grant year? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Internal Review	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit proper match documentation (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did this report contain any major audit findings? (N=pass, Y=fail)	Application	
Certification	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
HUD Threshold	Did The project meet its plans and goals established in the initial grant?	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	
HUD Threshold	Did the Project demonstrate all timeliness standards for grants being renewed?	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate success in assisting clients to achieve and maintain self-sufficiency and independent living?	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	
HUD Threshold	Was the project willing to accept technical assistance and did the project demonstrate adequate financial accounting with no documented mismanagement (e.g., drastically reducing the population served, making program changes without prior HUD approval, losing control of project site)?	Application Threshold Factor: Q4	

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment).
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial capacity in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review.
Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NOFO priorities.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.

Merit Review - CES

Category	Scoring Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Scoring Criteria	Rationale/ Notes
System Performance (Q1)	Coordinated Entry System is easily accessible	Narrative	15		Instructions: Use the Narrative to determine Score Fully = 15 Partially = 8 Not accessible = 0	
System Performance (Q2b)	Designed to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs	Y/N	15		Yes = 15 No = 0	
System Performance (Q4)	Standardized Assessment Process	Y/N	10		Yes = 10 No = 0	
System Performance (Q5)	Referral and Matching	Y/N	10		Yes = 10 No = 0	
Data Quality	Data Quality Procedures	Select the most appropriate answer (picklist)	15		Fully implemented = 15 Partial implemented = 10 Not implemented = 0	
System Contribution	Treatment & Recovery Onsite or Partnership	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0	
System Contribution	CES Participation and Responsiveness	Y/N	5		Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=5, N=0) *If HMIS/CES = Full points because part of CoC Full Use: Program/Services = 5	
System Contribution	HMIS Participation and Responsiveness		5		Partial Use: Minimum Requirements = 2.5 *If HMIS/CES = Full points	
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10		Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HMIS or CES)? Yes = 10, No = 0	
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10		Assign points based on local priority project type: PSH = 10, HMIS & CES = 5, All other project types = 4	
			100			

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - whether CES is accessible). *Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
*Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - whether CES is designed to reach high need populations). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - whether CES uses standardized assessment process). *Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (system performance - whether CES facilitates appropriate housing and service referral and matching). *Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (data quality - the extent to which the CES' data quality procedures are implemented).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (whether the HMIS facilitates system-level partnerships to treatment and recovery services).
*Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES.
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HMIS, or comparable database.
N/A; reflects local CoC priorities
N/A; reflects local CoC priorities
* Displays maximum points available for each project (Renewal CES = 100 points).

CoC Renewal Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
Reviewer Number: Threshold Metrics: Applicant: Project Name: Project Type: PSH Status: Renewal					

Threshold Review - PSH

Renewal PSH Threshold Factors: Pass/Fail
Project must pass all threshold criteria to advance to Merit Review

Renewal Threshold Factors:

Category	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Pass/Fail
Project Detail	Does the renewal project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did the renewal project expend at least 90% of funds from the most recent completed grant year? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit proper match documentation? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Internal Review	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did this report contain any major audit findings? (N=pass, Y=fail)	Application	
Financial Administration	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
Certification	Did the project meet its plans and goals established in the initial grant? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate all timeliness standards for grants being renewed? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate success in assisting clients to achieve and maintain self-sufficiency and independent living? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	
HUD Threshold	Was the project willing to accept technical assistance and did the project demonstrate adequate financial accounting with no documented mismanagement (e.g., drastically reducing the population served, making program changes without prior HUD approval, losing control of project site)? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	
HUD Threshold		Application Threshold Factor: Q4	

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)

Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment).
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial capacity in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review.
Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NOFO priorities.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's performance history in its review.

Merit Review - PSH

Category	Scoring Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Scoring Criteria	Rationale/Notes
System Performance (Q1)	Returns to Homelessness	Rate %	15		$< 10\% = 15$ $11-14\% = 10$ $15-19\% = 5$ $\geq 20\% = 0$	
System Performance (Q2)	Supportive Services Matrix	# Core Services	15		Instructions: Use the Services Matrix to determine # of Core Services 6 Core services = 15 4 Core services = 10 2 Core Services = 5 Core Services: Case management, mental health service, substance use treatment, employment assistance, Education services, Housing/Counseling services	
System Performance (Q4)	Connections to Supplemental Resources	Narrative	3		Instructions: Use the narrative to determine score. Full points (3) for listing at least one resource the project will leverage AND an explanation of how the applicant will increase project participant income and connect participants to benefits; partial points (1) for listing at least one resource OR explaining how the applicant will increase project participant income and connect participants with benefits; 0 points for not listing or providing detail.	
System Performance (Q5)	Participation Required	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0	
Serving Specific Population (Q6)	Serving Specific Population	Narrative	2		Instructions: Use the narrative to determine score. Full points (2) for explaining how the project will be designed to serve the elderly and/or people with disabilities AND an explanation of how units will prioritize these populations; partial points (1) for explaining how the project will be designed to serve the specific population OR how units will prioritize these populations; 0 points for providing no detail.	
Data Quality	PJI Error Rate	Rate %	5		$< 5\% = 5$ $> 6\% = 0$	
Data Quality	UDE Error Rate	Rate %	5		$< 5\% = 5$ $\geq 6\% = 0$	
Data Quality	Timeliness	Rate %	5		$\geq 90\% = 5$ $< 91\% = 0$	
System Contribution	Treatment & Recovery Opioid or Partnerships	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0	
System Performance (Q3)	Inventory Number of Beds/Units	# Units	10		Dynamic scoring across all projects within project type:	
	Funding Request	\$ Amount			Funding/units = cost effectiveness	
System Contribution	CES Participation and Responsiveness	Y/N	5		Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=5, N=0) If HMIS/CES = Full points because part of CoC	
System Contribution	HMIS Participation and Responsiveness		5		Full Use: Program Services = 5 Partial Use: Minimum Requirements = 2.5	
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10		Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HMIS or CES)? Yes = 10, No = 0	
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10		Assign points based on local priority project type: PSH 10, HMIS, 8, CES 6, All other project types 4	
			100			

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)

* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - returns to homelessness).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Meets HUD's required PH-PSH scoring considerations - returns to homelessness.
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - # of core services offered).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Meets HUD's required PH-PSH scoring considerations - increasing employment income.
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (participation requirements).
* Meets HUD's required PH-PSH scoring considerations - supportive service participation requirements.
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (eligible population served).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (2%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (data quality rates).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (data quality rates).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (data quality timeliness).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (availability of treatment services or partnerships).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (cost effectiveness).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%).
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES.
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HMIS, or comparable database.
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
* Displays maximum points available for each project (Renewal PSH = 100 points).

CoC New Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
--	--------	-----------	--------------	--------------	-------

Reviewer Number:
Threshold Score:
Applicant:
Project Name:
Project Type: TH
Status: New

Threshold Review - TH				
New Transitional Housing Threshold Factors - Pass/Fail			Threshold Score: Pass/Fail	
Project must receive 710 Points to advance to Mark Review				
Category	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Max Pts	Score
Project Detail	Does the new project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit proper match documentation (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did this report contain any major audit findings? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Certification	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
HUD Threshold	Did the project demonstrate it will provide and/or partner with organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist participants in obtaining housing? (Y=2, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	2	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant have prior experience operating TH or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	1	
HUD Threshold	Has the applicant previously operated TH, currently operates TH, or operates another project with a plan in place to ensure that a) at least 50% of participants exit to PH within 24 months, and b) at least 50% of participants exit with employment income, as reflected in HIMS or another data system? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	1	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant indicate the project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicaid, Medicaid, SSI and SNAP? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q4	1	
HUD Threshold	Will the proposed project require program participants to take part in supportive services by attaching a supportive service agreement? (Y=2, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q5 With Documentation	2	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant affirmatively certify and describe how the project will provide 40 hours per week of customized services for each participant (case management, employment, training, substance use treatment, etc.)? (Y=2, N=0) The 40 hours per week may be reduced proportionately for participants who are employed. 40 hours per week does not apply to participants over age 62 or who have a disability/impairment does not include SUDS.	Application Threshold Factor: Q6	2	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate the avg. cost per HH served the project is reasonable, consistent with 2 CFR 200.604(f) (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q8	1	
				10

Mark Review - TH					
Category	Threshold Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Notes
System Performance (Q1)	Returns to Homelessness	Rate %	15		* 10% = 15 11-14% = 10 15-19% = 5 >20% = 0
System Performance (Q2)	Exit to Permanent Housing	Rate %	10		50% = 10 40-49% = 7 30-39% = 3 <30% = 0
System Performance (Q4)	Supportive Services Matrix	# Core Services	10		* Paragraphs: Use the "service matrix" or summarize # of Core Services and assign points 6 Core Services = 10 4 Core Services = 7.5 2 Core Services = 5 Core Services: Case management, mental health service, substance use treatment, employment assistance.
System Performance (Q5)	Participation Required	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0
System Contribution	Treatment & Recovery Onsite or Partners	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0
Data Quality	HIMS Readiness		15		Full usage of HIMS = 15 Full usage of comparable database = 15 Partial usage of HIMS = 10 Not currently using HIMS but commits to using if funded = 5
System Contribution	Participation/Responsiveness in CES	Y/N	5		Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=1, N=0) * If HIMS/CES = Full points because part of CoC
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10		Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HIMS or CES)? Yes = 10, No = 0
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10		Assign points based on local priority project type: PSN = 10, HHS, & CDS-6 All other project types = 4
System Contribution (Q7a/7b)	Inventory Number of Beds/Units	# Units	5		Dynamic scoring across all projects within project area Funding/units = cost effectiveness
System Performance (Q3)	Funding Request	\$ Amount			
	Length of Stay for Returns (Q1)	Rate/pts	10		LoS Return Under 60m=Over 6m = 5
	Length of Stay for PH Exits (Q2)	Rate/pts			LoS PH Exits Under 24m = 5 & 5-8
			100		

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)	
Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment) Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review. Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review. Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NFOFO priorities. Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH project Threshold Factors (demonstrates plan for provision of eligible supportive services). Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH project Threshold Factors (demonstrates prior experience successfully operating TH or similar projects resulting in exits from homelessness within 24 months). * Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH project Threshold Factors (demonstrates plan for leveraging public and private sources). Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH project Threshold Factors (demonstrates plan for leveraging public and private sources). Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NFOFO priorities (service participation). Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH threshold factors (describes plan for assessing participant service needs and providing individualized services for at least 40 hours). Demonstrates alignment with NFOFO's new TH project Threshold factors (demonstrates cost reasonableness).	

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)	
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - returns to homelessness). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%). * Meets HUD's required TH scoring considerations - returns to homelessness. * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - exits to PH). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%). * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (if of core services offered). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%). * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (participation requirements). * Meets HUD's required TH scoring considerations - supportive service participation requirements. * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (availability of treatment services or partners). * Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HIMS, or comparable database. * Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES. NA, reflects local CoC priorities NA, reflects local CoC priorities * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (cost effectiveness). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (5%). * Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (LoS for returns, LoS for PH exits). * Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (10%). * Displays maximum points available for each project (New TH = 100 points).	

CoC New Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
--	--------	-----------	--------------	--------------	-------

Reviewer Number:
Threshold Metrics:
Applicant:
Project Name:
Project Type: SSO
Status: New

Threshold Review - SSO

New SSO Threshold Factors: Pass/Fail

Project must receive 45 Points to advance to Merit Review

Threshold Score:

Pass/Fail Score:

Category	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Max Points	Score
Project Detail	Does the new project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit proper match documentation (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did this report contain any major audit findings? (N=pass, Y=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
Certification	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate the SSO project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency and do they certify they will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the project participants? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	1	
HUD Threshold	Does the proposed project describe a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services? (Y=2, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	2	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant indicate the project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI and SNAP? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	1	
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate the avg. cost per HH served the project is reasonable, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q4	1	
			5	

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment).
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review.
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review.
Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NOFO priorities.
Demonstrates alignment with NOFO's new SSO project threshold factors (demonstrates SSO project necessity).
Demonstrates alignment with NOFO's new SSO project threshold factors (demonstrates supportive service strategy for unsheltered engagement).
Demonstrates alignment with NOFO's new SSO project threshold factors (demonstrates plan for leveraging public and private sources).
Demonstrates alignment with NOFO's new SSO project threshold factors (demonstrates cost reasonableness).

Merit Review - SSO

Category	Scoring Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Scoring Criteria	Notes
System Performance (Q1)	Engagement Rate	Rate % by category	15		Instructions: Use the highest % rate to determine score. High Engagement (15) Moderate Engagement (10) Minimal Engagement (5)	
System Performance (Q2)	Annual Assessment of Service Needs	Y/N	5		Instructions: Use the Service Matrix to determine whether "Assessment of Service Needs" is conducted at least on an annual basis to get the "yes" points. Yes = 5, No = 0	
System Performance (Q2)	Supportive Services Matrix	# Core Services	25		Instructions: Use the Service Matrix to determine # of Core Services and assign points 6 Core services = 25 4 Core services = 15 2 Core Services = 5 Core Services: Case management, mental health service, substance use treatment, employment assistance, Education services, Housing/Counseling services	
System Performance (Q3)	Participation Required	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0	
System Contribution	Partnerships with First Responders/Crisis Teams	Y/N	5		Yes = 5, No = 0	
System Contribution	Diversion/Housing Problem Solving	Narrative	5		Instructions: Use the narrative to determine full, partial or plan to implement. Full Implementation = 5 (Trained staff, formal documentation, data collection) Partial Implementation = 2.5 (Implementation but did not cite all components) Plan to implement = 1	
Data Quality	HMS Readiness		15		Full usage of HMS = 15 Full usage of comparable database = 15 Partial usage of HMS = 10 Not currently using HMS but commits to using if funded = 5 Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=5, N=0) If HMS/CES = Full points because part of CoC. Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HMS or CES)? Yes = 10, No = 0 Assign points based on local priority project type: PSH 10, HMS, 8, CES 6. All other project types 4.	
System Contribution	Participation/Responsiveness in CES	Y/N	5			
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10			
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10			
			100			

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(1)
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - unsheltered engagement rate).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data - certification of conducting annual assessment of service needs).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (5%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (# of core services offered).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (25%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (participation requirements).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (certification of partnerships with first responders/crisis teams).
* Demonstrates alignment with general HUD priorities (applying diversion/housing Problem Solving practices).
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HMS, or comparable database.
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES.
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
* Displays maximum points available for each project (New SSO = 100 points).

CoC New Project Scoring - Reviewer Sheet	Status	Applicant	Project Type	Project Name	Score
--	--------	-----------	--------------	--------------	-------

Reviewer Number:
Threshold Metrics:
Applicant:
Project Name:
Project Type: SSO - SO
Status: New

Threshold Review - SSO-SO					
New SSO-SO Threshold Factors: Pass/Fail			Threshold Score:		
Project must receive 68 Points to advance to Merit Review			Pass/Fail		
Information	Threshold Factor	Data Source	Max Points	Score	Notes
Project Detail	Does the new project serve an eligible population? (Y=pass, N=fail) (Did the applicant submit proper match documentation? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail		
Financial Administration	Did the applicant submit a recent organizational audit report? (Y=pass, N=fail) Did the report contain any major audit findings? (N=pass, Y=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail		
Certification	Does the applicant certify affirmatively that they will not engage in illegal discrimination or operate drug injection sites? (Y=pass, N=fail)	Application	Pass/Fail		
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant indicate the project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI and SNAP? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q1	1		
HUD Threshold	Does the proposed project describe a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services? (Y=2, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q2	2		
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate a history of partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access ES, treatment, reunification, TI or reunification and does not interfere with law enforcement? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q3	1		
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate experience providing effective outreach services and helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to ES, Treatment, TI and DHO? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q4	1		
HUD Threshold	Does the applicant demonstrate the avg. cost per HH served the project is reasonable, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404? (Y=1, N=0)	Application Threshold Factor: Q5 With Documentation	1		
			6		

Merit Review - SSO-SO					
Reviewer	Scored Factor	Response	Max Points	Score	Scoring Criteria
System Performance (Q1)	Unsheltered Engagement Rate	Rate % by category	15		Instructions: Use the highest % rate to determine score. High Engagement (15) Moderate Engagement (10) Minimal Engagement (5)
System Performance (Q2a,b)	Connection to positive outcomes	Rate %	10		Instructions: Use the % of Clients connected to shelter to assign "Connection" points, AND Avg. Length of Time from Enrollment to Shelter to assign "Avg. Days" points. Use the Narrative to determine if a strategy is in place to assign "Strategy" points. Connection >85% (8 points) Connection >30% (2 points) Avg. Days < 30 days (3 points) Avg. Days > 31 days (1 points) Strategy in place (1 points)
System Performance (Q3)	Supportive Services Matrix	# Core Services	15		Instructions: Use the Service Matrix to determine # of Core Services and assign points 6 Core Services = 15 4 Core Services = 10 2 Core Services = 5 From Services
System Performance (Q4)	Participation Required	Y/N	5		Yes = 5 must provide documentation No = 0
System Performance	Strategy for reducing Encampments	Y/N	5		Strategy available = 5, No strategy = 0
System Contribution	Partnerships with First Responders/Crisis Teams	Y/N	5		Yes = 5, No = 0
System Contribution	Division/Housing Problem Solving	Narrative	5		Instructions: Use the narrative to determine full, partial or plan to implement. Full Implementation = 5 (trained staff, formal documentation, data collection) Partial Implementation = 2.5 (implementation but did not cite all components) Plan to Implement = 1
Data Quality	HMIS Readiness		15		Full usage of HMIS = 15 Full usage of comparable database = 15 Partial usage of HMIS = 10 Not currently using HMIS but commits to using if funded = 5
System Contribution	Participation/Responsiveness in CES	Y/N	5		Does the applicant have a coordinated entry MOU in place with the CoC? (Y=5, N=0) If HMIS/CES = Full points because part of CoC
System Contribution	System Infrastructure Requirement	Y/N	10		Is this HMIS or CES? Yes = 10, No = 0
System Contribution	CoC Prioritization		10		PSH 10, HMIS, & CES 6, All other project types 4
			100		

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NCOF Section V.B.1.a.(1)
Demonstrates CoC used objective scoring criteria (type of eligible population served and project design alignment)
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via match documentation in its review
Demonstrates the CoC considered project applicant's financial stability via an audit report in its review
Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NCOF priorities.
Demonstrates alignment with NCOF's new SSO-SO project threshold factors (demonstrates plan for leveraging public and private sources).
Demonstrates alignment with NCOF's new SSO-SO project threshold factors (demonstrates supportive service strategy for unsheltered engagement)
Demonstrates alignment with NCOF's new SSO-SO project threshold factors (demonstrates history of partnering with first responders).
Demonstrates alignment with NCOF's new SSO-SO project threshold factors (demonstrates experience providing effective outreach to transition people who are unsheltered to shelter and/or housing).
Demonstrates alignment with NCOF's new SSO-SO project threshold factors (demonstrates cost reasonableness).

HUD Reviewer Crosswalk - NCOF Section V.B.1.a.(1)
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (performance data, unsheltered engagement rate)
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (# of core services offered).
* Demonstrates the CoC used system performance measures in local review, selection, and rating process, and that these factors account for at least 25% of total points available (15%).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (participation in CES)
* Demonstrates alignment with general HUD and NCOF priorities (reducing encampments).
* Demonstrates the CoC used objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications (certification of partnerships with first responders/crisis teams)
* Demonstrates alignment with general HUD priorities (applying division/housing problem solving practices).
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local HMIS, or comparable database.
* Demonstrates consideration of HUD's general requirements for CoC program funded recipients to use local CES.
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
N/A, reflects local CoC priorities
* Displays maximum points available for each project (New SSO-SO = 100 points).

Is this project part of maintaining CoC infrastructure (HMIS or CES)? Yes < 10, No = 0
Assign points based on local priority project type:
PSH 10, HMIS, & CES 6,
All other project types 4.